26

¹ This bankruptcy case was closed on September 23, 2008.

² This bankruptcy case was closed on October 12, 2007.

³ This bankruptcy case was closed on December 21, 2007.



this Court's March 4, 2009 Order [DE 6893], has been amended due to a mutual mistake, as follows.

The Trust indicated to the Court, and negotiated with DACA for purchase of Prepaid Interest due to the Trust, based upon an understanding that the uncollected balance of Prepaid Interest was \$7,218,409.26, including \$2,051,179.26 on the Hotel Marquis loan. Follow up with one of the claims buyers has demonstrated a mistake, in fact all of the Prepaid Interest owed by Lenders in the Hotel Marquis loan was paid when the loan was paid in full (including accrued interest). Accordingly, the Trust's uncollected Prepaid Interest balance is only \$5,174,487.91.

Based upon a \$2 million price reduction, DACA could have asserted a right to cancel the purchase under theories such as mutual mistake.⁴ The Trust had arguments against such relief, and instead of potentially litigating their dispute, DACA and the Trust agreed on a price adjustment. DACA has agreed to pay \$1,000,000 to buy \$5,174,487.91 in uncollected Prepaid Interest claims (19.3%) instead of DACA paying \$1,200,000 to buy \$7,218,409.26 in uncollected Prepaid Interest claims (16.6% of face). A copy of the parties' Amendment to Asset Purchase Agreement is attached and incorporated as Exhibit 2.

DACA wired the balance of the purchase price on Thursday, March 12, 2009 and the Purchase Agreement, as amended, has been consummated, subject to dismissal of DACA's appeal in the Ninth Circuit.

2029347.

⁴ See *Tarrant v. Monson*, 96 Nev. 844, 845, 619 P.2d 1210, 1211 (1980) ("A mutual mistake is a basis for an equitable rescission of a contract."); *Gramanz v. Gramanz*, 113 Nev. 1, 930 P.2d 753 (1997).

3 2029347.1